

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/824,573	Applicant(s) HAHN ET AL.	
	Examiner Renata McCloud	Art Unit 2837	

All Participants:

 (1) Renata McCloud.

 (2) Ely Zborovsky.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 December 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
claims 8-12 anticipated by Zick et al
Claims discussed:
8-12
Prior art documents discussed:
Zick et al
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner indicated that Zick et al met the limitations of claims 8-12, and that applicant had not argued otherwise. The examiner further indicated that the application would be allowable if claims 8-12 were cancelled. Att. Barowski agreed to cancel the claims via examiner amendment. .